PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION 9916 THEORIES OF PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION

Instructor: Dr. Zachary Spicer Classroom: 4255 SSC E-Mail: zachary.spicer@utoronto.ca

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Public administration is a rich and diverse field of study with broad theoretical underpinnings. This course explores the foundational thinking in the study and practice of public administration, with an emphasis on how these approaches have changed over time and across locations. Literature included in this course will be drawn from a number of sources and jurisdictions. Ultimately, class discussions will focus on the administration of local governments.

This course will encourage students to understand and integrate influential theoretical approaches to the study and practice of public administration by working through a diverse range of readings, applying their own experiences and learning from the experiences of others. Students will complete a number of practical in-class assignments and apply theory to practice.

REQUIRED TEXTS

An important way to address gaps between theory and practice is through discussion, comparing viewpoints and learning from each other. Assigned readings are listed below, in our meeting schedule. All of these readings should be available from the UWO library. If you are unable to locate any of these readings, please inform the instructor as soon as possible.

COURSE REQUIREMENTS

Participation: 25%

This class is designed as a seminar. As such, each student must come prepared and participate regularly. Students will be graded based upon their attendance, understanding of reading material and quality of participation.

Group Discussion and Presentations: 45% (7.5% x 6)

During each of our meetings, students will work in small groups to discuss specific issues and assignments. Each group will present their arguments during class. The topics for each week are listed under "exercises" in the outline below. Students should prepare accordingly with the understanding that group membership will be randomly assigned during class. Students will have ample

time to meet and prepare a presentation, but it is critical that any readings required for the exercise are completed ahead of time.

Article Review and Critique: 30%

Choose one of the following classic articles in public administration and provide a comprehensive (and critical) review.

Dahl, Robert. 1947. "The Science of Public Administration: Three Problems." Public Administration Review 7 (1): 1-11

Kaufman, Herbert. 1956. "Emerging Conflicts in the Doctrines of Public Administration." *American Political Science Review* 50 (4): 1057-1073.

Ostrom, Vincent. 1971. "Public Choice: A Different Approach to the Study of Public Administration." *Public Administration Review* 31 (2): 203-216.

Reflect upon the article's main arguments. Has the article stood the test of time? What was the articles impact on the discipline since its was originally written? How has public administration changed and shifted since its original publication?

This paper should be no more than 10 double-spaced, pages in length, include at least 6 other academic sources and be submitted at the conclusion of our October 14th meeting.

SCHEDULE OF TOPICS AND REQUIRED READINGS

September 8, 2017 3:00pm - 7:00pm Introduction & Machinery of Government

Readings	Raadschelders, Jos C.N. 2008. "Understanding Government: Four Intellectual Traditions in the Study of Public Administration." Public Administration 86 (4): 925-949 Hodgetts, J.E. 1997. "The Intellectual Odyssey of Public Administration in English Canada." Canadian Public Administration 40 (2): 171-185
	Rutgers, Mark R. 1997. "Beyond Woodrow Wilson: The Identity of the Study of Public Administration in Historical Perspective." <i>Administration and Society</i> 29 (3): 276-300 Henderson, Keith M. 2009. "Parallel Universes: Canadian and U.S. Public Administration Study." <i>Canadian Public Administration</i> 52

	(2): 271-290
Exercises	Group discussion and presentation: Is public administration an
	art, a science or a profession?
Assignments	None

September 9, 2017 9:00am - 4:00pm Bureaucracy and Bureaucratic Preferences

	Prendergast, Canice. 2007. "The Motivation and Bias of
	Bureaucrats." American Economic Review 97 (1): 180-196
	2 42 64 44 64 7 (2), 200 27 6
	Spicer, Michael. 2007. "Politics and the Limits of the Science of
	Governance." Public Administration Review 67 (4): 768-779
	Brehem, John and Scott Gates. 1993. "Donut Shops and Speed
	Traps: Evaluating Models of Supervision on Police Behaviour"
Readings	American Journal of Political Science. 37 (2): 555-581
Readings	Demir, Tansu. 2009. "Politics and Administration: Three Schools,
	Three Approaches and Three Suggestions." Administrative Theory
	& Praxis 31 (4): 503-532
	May, Peter J. and Soren C. Winter. 2009. "Politicians, Managers
	and Street-Level Bureaucrats: Influence on Policy
	Implementation." Journal of Public Administration Research and
	Theory. 19 (3): 453-476
	Group discussion and presentation: defining the "Public Service
	Bargain". Read the following article by David Siegel:
Exercises	Siegel, David. "The 'Public Service Bargain' in Local Government:
	A New Way of Looking at Relations Between Municipal Councils
	and CAOs." Canadian Public Administration 58 (3): 406-425
	Does a "public service bargain" exist in local government? If so,
	how does it differ from provincial and federal public
	administration? How could it be improved?
Assignments	None

October 13, 2017
3:00pm - 7:00pm
Delegation & Accountability

Readings	Bendor, J., A. Glazer and T. Hammond. 2001. "Theories of Delegation." <i>Annual Review of Political Science</i> . 4: 235-69
	Fox, Justin and Stuart Jordan. 2011. "Delegation and Accountability." <i>Journal of Politics</i> 73 (3): 831-844
	Romzek, Barbara and Melvin Dubnick. 1987. "Accountability in the Public Sector: Lessons from the Challenger Tragedy." <i>Public Administration Review</i> 47 (3): 1987
	Anderson, Jonathan. 2009. "Illusions of Accountability: Credit and Blame Sensemaking in Public Administration." <i>Administrative Theory & Praxis</i> 31 (3): 322-339
Exercises	Group discussion and presentation: Read the report of the Elliot Lake Commission of Inquiry (Executive Summary only). Identify what you believe were the breakdowns in accountability between both private and public sector actors. Do the recommendations made adequately address these accountability breakdowns?
Assignments	None

October 14, 2017 9:00am - 4:00pm

Studying Public Administration: Institutional, Economic & Legal Approaches

Readings	Raadschelders, Jos. C.N. 2010. "Identity Without Boundaries: Public Administration's Canon(s) of Integration." <i>Administration & Society</i> 42 (2): 131-159
	Stoney, Chris and Katharine Graham. 2009. "Federal-Municipal Relations in Canada: The Changing Organizational Landscape." <i>Canadian Public Administration</i> 52 (3): 371-394
	Meyer, John W. and Brian Rowan. 1977. "Institutionalized Organizations: Formal Structures as Myth and Ceremony." <i>American Journal of Sociology</i> 83 (2): 340-363
	Farmer, David John. 2010. "Public Administration in a World of Economics." <i>Administrative Theory and Praxis</i> 32 (3): 373-384
	Harlow, Carol. 2005. "Law and Public Administration: Convergence and Symbiosis." <i>International Review of Administrative Sciences</i> 71 (2): 279-294.

	McCormick, Peter. 2004. "New Questions about an Old Concept: The Supreme Court of Canada's Judicial Independence Decisions." Canadian Journal of Political Science 37 (4): 839-862
	Read the following two articles:
Exercises	Gibson, Pamela and Diana Deadrick. 2010. "Public Administration Research and Practice: Are Academician and Practitioner Interests Different?" <i>Public Administration Quarterly</i> 34 (2): 145-168
	Pollitt, Christopher. 2006. "Academic Advice to Practitioners – What is its Nature, Place and Value Within Academia?" <i>Public Money and Management</i> (September)
	What is the relationship between academics and practitioners in local government in Canada? What should the relationship look like? Discuss the value both communities add (or not) to the
	other. Could this relationship be improved? If so, how?
Assignments	Article review and critique due

November 10, 2017 3:00pm - 7:00pm Interest Groups and Responsiveness

	Carpenter, Daniel. 2004. "Protection Without Capture." American
Readings	Vigoda, Eran. 2002. "From Responsivness to Collaboration: Governance, Citizens and the Next Generation of Public Administration." <i>Public Administration Review</i> 62 (5): 527-540 Irvin, Renee and John Stansbury. 2004. "Citizen Participation in Decision-Making: Is it Worth the Effort?" <i>Public Administration Review</i> 64 (1): 55-65
	Richardson, Jeremy. 2000. "Government, Interest Groups and Policy Change." <i>Political Studies</i> 48 (5): 1006-1025
	Read the following article:
Exercises	Biber, Eric, Sarah E. light, J.B. Ruhl, and James Salzman. Forthcoming. "Regulating Business Innovation as Policy
	Disruption: From the Model T to Airbnb." Vanderbilt Law Review

	(Available via SSRN)
	Identify the various interests at play in regulating (or not
	regulating) aspects of the platform economy? How has this
	influenced the regulatory approach to government? Are these
	actors new?
Assignments	None

November 11, 2017 9:00am - 4:00pm Ethics

Readings	Langford, John. W. 2004. "Acting on Values: An Ethical Dead End for Public Servants." <i>Canadian Public Administration</i> 47 (4): 429-450
	Heintzman, Ralph. 2007. "Public Service Values and Ethics: Dead End or Strong Foundation." <i>Canadian Public Administration</i> 50 (4): 573-602
	Kernaghan, Kenneth. 2014. "Digital Dilemmas: Values, Ethics and Information Technology." <i>Canadian Public Administration</i> 57 (2): 295-317
	De Graaf, Gjalt and L.W.J.C. Huberts. 2008. "Portraying the Nature of Corruption Using an Explorative Case Study Design." <i>Public Administration Review</i> 68 (4): 640-653.
	Kernaghan, Kenneth. 2003. "Integrating Values into Public Service: The Values Statement As Centrepiece." <i>Public Administration Review</i> 63 (6): 711-719
	A variety of ethical scenarios will be distributed in class. You will be responsible for analyzing each and delivering solutions. In advance, you may consider reviewing the following:
Exercises	
	Canadian Centre for Management Development. 1995. A Strong Foundation: Report of the Task Force on Public Service Values and
	Ethics. Ottawa: Government of Canada.
Assignments	None.